arXiv:1506.06533v1 [math.AP] 22 Jun 2015

A critical nonlinear fractional elliptic equation with saddle-like potentical in $\mathbb{R}^N$*

Claudianor O. Alves

Universidade Federal de Campina Grande,
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática,
CEP: 58429-900 - Campina Grande-PB, Brazil [†]

Olimpio H. Miyagaki

Departmento de Matemática,
Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora,
CEP: 36036-330 - Juiz de Fora-MG, Brazil [‡]

(Dated: June 23, 2015)

In this paper, we study the existence of positive solution for the following class of fractional elliptic equation

$$\epsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(z)u = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2_s^*-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$ are positive parameters, $q \in (2, 2_s^*), 2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$, $N > 2s$, $s \in (0,1)$, $(-\Delta)^s u$ is the fractional laplacian, and V is a saddle-like potential. The result is proved by using minimizing method constrained to the Nehari manifold. A special minimax level is obtained by using an argument made by Benci and Cerami.

---

[*] Research of C. O. Alves partially supported by CNPq/Brazil 304036/2013-7 and INCTMAT/CNPq/Brazil. O.H.Miyagaki is corresponding author, and He was partially supported by INCTMAT/CNPq/Brazil, CNPq/Brazil 304015/2014-8 and CAPES/Brazil Proc. 2531/14-3.

[†] coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br

[‡] ohmiyagaki@gmail.com

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35A15, 35B09, 35J15 .

Key words. Variational methods, Positive solutions, Fractional elliptic equations

I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation

$$i\epsilon\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}=\epsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s\Psi+(V(z)+E)\Psi-f(\Psi)\ \text{ for all }\ z\in\mathbb{R}^N, \tag{NLS}$$

where $N>2s$, $\epsilon>0$, V,f are continuous functions, has been studied in recent years by many researchers. The standing waves solutions of (NLS), namely, $\Phi(z,t)=exp(-iEt)u(z)$, where u is a solution of the fractional elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases}\epsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u+V(z)u=f(u)\ \text{ in }\ \mathbb{R}^N,\\ u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N),\quad u>0\ \text{ on }\ \mathbb{R}^N.\end{cases} \tag{P_ϵ}$$

In the local case, that is, when $s=1$, the existence and concentration of positive solutions for general semilinear elliptic equations (P_ϵ), for the case $N\geq 2$, have been extensively studied, for example, by [3, 5–7, 16, 17, 19, 22, 24, 26, 30], and their references. Rabinowtz in [26], proved the existence of positive solutions of (P_ϵ), for $\epsilon>0$ small, imposing a global condition,

$$\liminf_{|z|\to\infty}V(z)>\inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N}V(z)=\gamma>0.$$

In fact, these solutions concentrate at global minimum points of V as ϵ tends to 0, c.f. Wang in [30]. del Pino and Felmer in [16], assuming a local condition, namely, there is an open and bounded set Λ compactly contained in $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying

$$0<\gamma\leq V_0=\inf_{z\in\Lambda}V(z)<\min_{z\in\partial\Lambda}V(z), \tag{V_1}$$

established the existence of positive solutions which concentrate around local minimum of V, by introducing a penalization method.

In [17], del Pino, Felmer and Miyagaki considered the case where potential V has a saddle like geometry. They assumed that V is bounded and $V\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, verifying the following conditions: Fixed two subspaces $X,Y\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\mathbb{R}^N=X\oplus Y$, define $c_0,c_1>0$ given by

$$c_0 = \inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N} V(z) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 = \sup_{x\in X} V(x),$$

satisfying the following geometric condition

(V_1)

$$c_0 = \inf_{R>0} \sup_{x\in\partial B_R(0)\cap X} V(x) < \inf_{y\in Y} V(y).$$

In addition to the above hypotheses, they imposed the conditions below:

(V_2) The functions $V, \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}^N$ for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., N\}$.

(V_3) V satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, that is, if $(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a sequence such that $(V(x_n))$ is bounded and $\nabla V(x_n) \to 0$, then (x_n) possesses a convergent subsequence in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Using the above conditions on V, and supposing that

$$c_1 < 2^{\frac{2(p-1)}{N+2-p(N-2)}} c_0,$$

the authors in [17] showed the existence of positive solutions for (P_ϵ) with $f(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$, where $p \in (2, 2^*)$ if $N \geq 3$ and $p \in (2, +\infty)$ if $N = 1, 2$, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. Here $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent.

Motivated by the results obtained in [17], with the potential V having the same geometry as considered in [17], Alves in [1] proved the existence of positive solution result for (P_ϵ), not only with f having an exponential critical growth, for $N = 2$, but also with $f(u) = |u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2^*-2}u$, where $q \in (2, 2^*)$ and $N \geq 3$.

In the nonlocal case, that is, when $s \in (0, 1)$, even in the subcritical case, there are only few references on existence and/or concentration phenomena for fractional nonlinear equation (P_ϵ), maybe because techniques developed for local case can not be adapted imediately, c.f. [27]. We would like to cite [27, 29] for the existence of positive solution, imposing a global condition on V. In [15] is studied the existence and concentration phenomena for potential verifying local condition (V_1), and in [13, 28] a concentration phenomenon is treated near of non degenerate critical point of V.

By using the same approach as in [1], we will establish an existence result of positive solution for the following class of problem involving critical Sobolev exponents

$$\epsilon^2(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2^*_s-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \qquad (P_\epsilon)_*$$

where $s \in (0,1)$, $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$ are positive parameters, $q \in (2, 2^*_s), 2^*_s = \frac{2N}{N-2s}, N > 2s$, with V verifying the above conditions and a relation on the numbers c_0 and c_1, given by

(V_4) $\quad m_\lambda(V(0)) \geq 2m_\lambda(c_0)$ and $c_1 \leq c_0 + \frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)c_0$,

where $m_\lambda(A)$ is the mountain pass level of the functional

$$J_{\lambda,A}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi + \frac{A}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2\, dx - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx - \frac{1}{2^*_s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_s} dx$$

defined in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is well known that the equality below holds

$$m_\lambda(A) = \inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}} \left\{\max_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,A}(tu)\right\}.$$

Using the above notation we are able to state our main result

Theorem I.1. *Assume that $(V_1)-(V_4)$ hold. Then, there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$, and $\lambda^* > 0$ independent of ϵ_0, such that $(P_\epsilon)_*$ has a positive solution for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$.*

The proof of Theorem I.1 was inspired from [1] and [17], however since we are working with fractional laplacian, the estimates for this class of nonlocal problem are very delicate and different from those used in the local problems. We minimize the energy function constrained on the Nehari manifold, and to this end, we modify the barycenter properly for our problem

We recall that, for any $s \in (0,1)$, the fractional Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is definied by

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dx\, dy < \infty\right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(|u|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dx\, dy\right)^{1/2}.$$

The fractional Laplacian, $(-\Delta)^s u$, of a smooth function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s u)(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s}\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi),\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform, that is,

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\xi\cdot x}\phi(x)\ dx \equiv \widehat{\phi}(\xi),$$

for functions ϕ in the Schwartz class. Also $(-\Delta)^s u$ can be equivalently represented [18, Lemma 3.2] as

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = -\frac{1}{2}C(N,s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x+y)+u(x-y)-2u(x))}{|y|^{N+2s}}\ dy,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$C(N,s) = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1-cos\xi_1)}{|\xi|^{N+2s}} d\xi)^{-1},\ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_N).$$

Also, in light of [18, Propostion 3.4,Propostion 3.6], we have

$$|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi = \frac{1}{2}C(N,s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\ dx\ dy, \quad \text{for all } u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{I.1}$$

and, sometimes, we identify these two quantities by omitting the normalization constant $\frac{1}{2}C(N,s)$. For $N > 2s$, from [18, Theorem 6.5] we also know that, for any $p \in [2, 2^*_s]$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$|u|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C_p\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{I.2}$$

The best Sobolev constant S is given by (see [14])

$$S = \inf_{u \in H^s_0(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dxdy}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_s} dx)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}}},$$

which is attained by

$$v_0(x) = \frac{c}{(\theta^2 + |x-x_0|^2)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $c, \theta > 0$ are constants and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ fixed, and

$$H^s_0(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N) :\ |\xi|^s\widehat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Before to conclude this introduction, we would like point out that using the change variable $v(x) = u(\epsilon x)$, it is possible to prove that (P_ϵ) is equivalent to the following problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(\epsilon x)u = f(u)\ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag*{$(P_\epsilon)'$}$$

where

$$f(t) = \lambda|t|^{q-2}t + |t|^{2_s^*-2}t, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the present paper, we denote by I_ϵ the energy functional associated with $(P_\epsilon)'$ given by

$$I_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)|u|^2\, dx - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*} dx,$$

for all $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is standard to prove that $I_\epsilon \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ with Gateaux derivative

$$I_\epsilon'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}\widehat{u}\ \widehat{v} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)uv\, dx - \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q-2}uv dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*-2}uv dx$$

for all $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This way, $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution for $(P_\epsilon)'$ if, and only if, u is a critical point for I_ϵ.

Notation: In this paper we use the following notations:

- The usual norms in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ will be denoted by $|\,.\,|_t$ and $\|\quad\|$ respectively.
- C denotes (possible different) any positive constant.
- $B_R(z)$ denotes the open ball with center at z and radius R.

II. TECHNICAL RESULTS

The next lemma is a Lions Lemma type result which can be adapted to our case, see [27, Proposition II.3].

Lemma II.1. *Let $(u_n) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence such that,*

if there is $R > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(z)} |u_n|^2\, dx = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q\, dx = 0, \quad \forall q \in (2, 2_s^*).$$

As a consequence of the above lemma, we have the following result

Corollary II.1. *Let* $(u_n) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *be a* $(PS)_c$ *sequence for* I_ϵ, *that is,*

$$I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c, \quad \textit{and} \quad I_{\epsilon}(u_n) \to 0,$$

with $0 < c < \frac{s}{N}(2^{-1}C(N,s)S)^{N/2s}$ *and* $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$. *Then, there exists* $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *with* $|z_n| \to +\infty$ *such that*

$$v_n = u_n(\cdot + z_n) \rightharpoonup v \neq 0 \quad \textit{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Proof. We claim that for any $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(z)} |u_n|^2 \, dx > 0.$$

Otherwise, there is $R > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(z)} |u_n|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma II.1,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q \, dx = 0, \qquad q \in (2, 2_s^*).$$

Since $0 < c < \frac{s}{N}(2^{-1}C(N,s)S)^{N/2s}$, arguing as in [2, Lemma 3.3] for local case, [29, Lemma 3.4] for nonlocal case, the last limit combined with $I'_\epsilon(u_n)u_n = o_n(1)$ gives

$$u_n \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

implying that

$$I_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0,$$

which is a contradiction, because by hypotheses $I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c > 0$. Thereby, for each $R > 0$, there are $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $\tau > 0$ and a subsequence of (u_n), still denoted by itself, such that

$$\int_{B_R(z_n)} |u_n|^2 \, dx \geq \tau, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{II.1}$$

Setting $v_n = u_n(\cdot + z_n)$, we have that (v_n) is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, for some subsequence of (u_n), still denoted by (u_n), there is $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{II.2}$$

From (II.1) and (II.2),

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |v|^2 \, dx \geq \tau,$$

showing that $v \neq 0$. Moreover, (II.1) gives that $|z_n| \to +\infty$, because $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. ■

The lemma below brings an important estimate from above involving the mountain pass level $m_\lambda(c_0)$, which is crucial in our approach.

Lemma II.2. *There is* $\lambda^* > 0$ *such that*

$$m_\lambda(c_0) \leq \frac{s}{2N}(2^{-1}C(N,s)S)^{N/2s},$$

for all $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$.

Proof. Let $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. We know that there is $t_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$t_\lambda w \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0} = \left\{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \,:\, J'_{\lambda,c_0}(u)u = 0\right\},$$

that is

$$\|w\|^2 = \lambda t_\lambda^{q-2}|w|_q^q + t_\lambda^{2_s^*-2}|w|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*}.$$

The above equality gives

$$t_\lambda \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to +\infty.$$

As

$$m_\lambda(c_0) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,c_0}(tw) \leq \frac{t_\lambda^2}{2}\|w\|^2,$$

we derive that

$$m_\lambda(c_0) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to +\infty,$$

finishing the proof. ■

As a consequence of the last results we have the following corollary

Corollary II.2. *The problem*

$$(-\Delta)^s u + c_0 u = \lambda |u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2_s^*-2}u \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \qquad (P_{\lambda,\infty})$$

possesses a positive ground state solution for all $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$*, that is, there is* $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *such that*

$$J_{\lambda,c_0}(w) = m_\lambda(c_0) \quad \text{and} \quad J'_{\lambda,c_0}(w) = 0,$$

for all $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$.

Proof. The existence of a ground state solution can be obtained repeating the same idea found in Alves, Carrião and Miyagaki [2] for the local case, that is, $s = 1$. By using the definition $\| \;\; \|$, we have that

$$\| |u| \| \leq \|u\| \quad \forall u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Thus, if u_0 is a ground state solution,

$$J'_{\lambda,c_0}(|u_0|)|u_0| \leq J'_{\lambda,c_0}(u_0)u_0.$$

From this, there is $t_1 \in (0,1]$ such that $t_1|u_0| \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0}$, and so,

$$m_\lambda(c_0) \leq J_{\lambda,c_0}(t_1|u_0|) \leq J_{\lambda,c_0}(u_0) = m_\lambda(c_0),$$

implying that $J_{\lambda,c_0}(t_1|u_0|) = m(c_0)$. Using Deformation Lemma, we deduce that $t_1|u_0|$ is a critical point, and so, it is a ground state solution, finishing the proof. ■

The next lemma shows that there is positive radial ground state solution.

Lemma II.3. *If* u_0 *is a positive ground state solution of* $(P_{\lambda,\infty})$*, then its symmetrization denoted by* u_0^* *is also a positive ground state solution of* $(P_{\lambda,\infty})$*.*

Proof. Denote by u_0^* the symmetrization of u_0. Then, c.f. [25],

$$\|u_0^*\| \leq \|u_0\|, \quad |u_0^*|_q = |u_0|_q \quad \text{and} \quad |u_0^*|_{2_s^*} = |u_0|_{2_s^*}.$$

From this, $J'_{\lambda,c_0}(u_0^*)u_0^* \leq 0$. Then, there is $t_0 \in (0,1]$ such that $t_0 u_0^* \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0}$. Thereby,

$$m_\lambda(c_0) \leq J_{\lambda,c_0}(t_0 u_0^*) \leq J_{\lambda,c_0}(u^*) = m_\lambda(c_0),$$

implying that $J_{\lambda,c_0}(t_0 u_0^*) = m_\lambda(c_0)$. The above inequality also ensures that $t_0 = 1$, otherwise we must have

$$m_\lambda(c_0) \leq J_{\lambda,c_0}(t_0 u_0^*) < J_{\lambda,c_0}(u^*) = m_\lambda(c_0),$$

which is an absurd. From this, $J_{\lambda,c_0}(u_0^*) = m_\lambda(c_0)$. Now, applying Deformation Lemma, we deduce that u_0^* is critical point, then it is a radial ground state solution. ■

The lemma below is a key point in our arguments, because it is a regularity result for problems of the type

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + \alpha u = \lambda |u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2_s^*-2}u, \ \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \end{cases} \tag{$P_{\lambda,\alpha}$}$$

for $\lambda, \alpha > 0$

Lemma II.4. *If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of $(P_{\lambda,\alpha})$, then $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. In what follows, we will use an approach due to Cabré and Sire [10] , that is, we will see the problem of the following way , c.f. [11],

$$\begin{cases} div(y^{1-2s}\nabla v) \;=\; 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ 2(1-s)\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu^s} \;=\; -\alpha v + f(v), & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{$P^*_{\lambda,\alpha}$}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ = \{(x_1, ..., x_N, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \,:\, y > 0\}$, $\lambda, \alpha > 0$ and

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu^s}(x) = -\lim_{y\to 0^+} y^{1-2s}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y).$$

Associated with $(P^*_{\lambda,\alpha})$, we have the energy functional $I : X^{1,\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2s}|\nabla v|^2\, dxdy + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \alpha|v|^2\, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v)\, dx \tag{II.3}$$

where F denotes the primitive of f, that is,

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)\, ds = \lambda\frac{1}{q}|t|^q + \frac{1}{2^*_s}|t|^{2^*_s}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $X^{1,s}$ is the Hilbert space obtained as the closure of $C^\infty_0(\overline{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+})$ under the norm

$$\|v\|_{1,s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla v|^2\, dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \alpha|v|^2\, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using some embeddings mentioned in Brändle,Colorado and Sánchez [9](see also [12, 31]), we deduce that the embeddings

$$X^{1,s} \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } p \in [2, 2^*_\alpha]$$

are continuous, where $2^*_s = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$. Moreover, we know that u is a solution of $(P_{\lambda,\alpha})$ if, and only if, $u = v(x, 0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, for some critical point v of I.

In what follows, for each $L > 0$, we set

$$v_L(x, y) = \begin{cases} v(x, y), & \text{if } \;(x, y) \le L \\ L, & \text{if } \;v(x, y) \ge L \end{cases}$$

and

$$z_L = v_L^{2(\beta-1)} v,$$

with $\beta > 1$ to be determined later. Since $I'(v)z_L = 0$, adapting the same approach explored in Alves and Figueiredo [4, Lemma 4.1], we will find the following estimate

$$|v(.,0)|_\infty \leq C|v(.,0)|_{2_s^*},$$

or equivalently,

$$|u|_\infty \leq C|u|_{2_s^*}.$$

Now, fixing $M = |u|_\infty + 1$, we consider the following function

$$g_M(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad t \leq 0 \\ \lambda t^{q-1} + t^{2_s^*} - 1, & \text{if } 0 \geq t \leq M, \\ \lambda t^{q-1} + A_M t^{q-1} + B_M, & \text{if} \quad t \geq M, \end{cases}$$

where A_M and B_M are chosen such that $g_M \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. It is easy to see that g_M has a subcritical growth and u is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + \alpha u = g_M(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \tag{$P_{\lambda,\alpha,M}$}$$

Using the arguments explored in Felmer, Quass and Tan [21](see also [23]), we deduce that

$$|u(x)| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to +\infty.$$

This way, we see that $(-\Delta)^s u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and so, $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Repeating the same arguments found in [20, Section 2], we also have $|\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

■

Lemma II.5. *Under the hypotheses* $(V_1) - (V_4)$ *and* $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$, *for each* $\sigma > 0$, *there is* $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon(\lambda, \sigma) > 0$, *such that* I_ϵ *satisfies the* $(PS)_c$ *condition for all* $c \in (m_\lambda(c_0) + \sigma, 2m_\lambda(c_0) - \sigma)$, *for all* $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$.

Proof. We will prove the lemma arguing by contradiction. Suppose that there is $\sigma > 0$ and $\epsilon_n \to 0$, such that I_{ϵ_n} does not satisfy the (PS) condition.

Thereby, there is $c_n \in (m_\lambda(c_0) + \sigma, 2m_\lambda(c_0) - \sigma)$ such that I_{ϵ_n} does not verify the $(PS)_{c_n}$ condition. Then, there is sequence (u_m^n) such that

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} I_{\epsilon_n}(u_m^n) = c_n \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m\to+\infty} I'_{\epsilon_n}(u_m^n) = 0, \tag{II.4}$$

with

$$u_m^n \rightharpoonup u_n \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{but} \quad u_m^n \not\to u_n \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{II.5}$$

Then, for $v_m^n = u_m^n - u_n$, the Brezis-Lieb Lemma yields

$$I_{\epsilon_n}(u_m^n) = I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) + I_{\epsilon_n}(v_m^n) + o_m(1) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\epsilon_n}(v_m^n) = o_m(1).$$

Claim II.1. *There is $\delta > 0$, such that*

$$\liminf_{m\to+\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |v_m^n|^2\, dx \geq \delta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, if the claim does not hold, there is $(n_j) \subset \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{m\to+\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |v_m^{n_j}|^2\, dx \leq \frac{1}{j}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using the arguments found in [30] and [27], we deduce that

$$\limsup_{m\to+\infty} |v_m^{n_j}|_q = o_j(1), \quad \forall q \in (2, 2_s^*). \tag{II.6}$$

Then

$$\limsup_{m\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_m^{n_j}|^q\, dx = o_j(1).$$

As $I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \geq 0$ and $c < \frac{s}{N}(2^{-1}C(N,s)S)^{N/2s}$, the above estimate combined with (II.6) and $I'_{\epsilon_{n_j}}(v_m^{n_j})(v_m^{n_j}) = o_m(1)$ gives

$$\limsup_{m\to+\infty} \|v_m^{n_j}\|^2 = o_j(1).$$

Now since $u_m^{n_j} \not\to u_{n_j}$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we derive that

$$\liminf_{m\to+\infty} \|v_m^{n_j}\|^2 > 0.$$

Then, without loss of generality, we can assume that $(v_m^{n_j}) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. Thereby, there is $t_m^{n_j} \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$t_m^{n_j} v_m^{n_j} \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_{n_j}},$$

verifying

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} t_m^{n_j} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m\to+\infty} I_{\epsilon_{n_j}}(t_m^{n_j} v_m^{n_j}) = \lim_{m\to+\infty} I_{\epsilon_{n_j}}(v_m^{n_j}).$$

From the above informations, there is $r_m^{n_j} \in (0,1)$ such that

$$r_m^{n_j}(t_m^{n_j} v_m^{n_j}) \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} m_\lambda(c_0) &\leq \limsup_{m\to+\infty} J_{c_0}(r_m^{n_j}(t_m^{n_j}v_m^{n_j})) \leq \limsup_{m\to+\infty} I_{\epsilon_{n_j}}(t_m^{n_j}v_m^{n_j}) \\ &= \limsup_{m\to+\infty} I_{\epsilon_{n_j}}(v_m^{n_j}) \leq \frac{(1+|V|_\infty)}{2}\limsup_{m\to+\infty}\|v_m^{n_j}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$m_\lambda(c_0) \leq o_j(1),$$

which is a contradiction.

From the above study, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(z_{m_n}^n)} |u_{m_n}^n|^2\,dx \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad |\epsilon_n z_{m_n}^n| \geq n, \quad \|I'_{\epsilon_n}(u_{m_n}^n)\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad |I_{\epsilon_n}(u_{m_n}^n) - c_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

In what follows, we denote by (z_n) and (u_n) the sequences $(z_{m_n}^n)$ and $(u_{m_n}^n)$ respectively. Then,

$$\int_{B_R(z_n)} |u_n|^2\,dx \geq \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad |\epsilon_n z_n| \geq n, \quad \|I'_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad |I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) - c_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Claim II.2. $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ *in* $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Indeed, assume by contradiction that there is $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Using the limit $\|I'_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)\| \to 0$, it is possible to prove that u is a solution of the problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(0)u - f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then, the definition of $m_\lambda(V(0))$ together with (V_4) gives

$$J_{\lambda,V(0)}(u) \geq m_\lambda(V(0)) \geq 2m_\lambda(c_0).$$

On the other hand, the Fatous' lemma leads to

$$J_{\lambda,V(0)}(u) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty}[I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta}I'_{\epsilon_n}(u_n)] = \liminf_{n\to+\infty} I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) = \liminf_{n\to+\infty} c_n \leq 2m_\lambda(c_0) - \sigma,$$

obtaining a contradiction. Then , the Claim II.2 is proved.

Considering $w_n = u_n(\cdot + z_n)$, we have that (w_n) is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, there is $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$w_n \rightharpoonup w \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |w|^2 \, dx \geq \frac{\delta}{2},$$

showing that $w \neq 0$.

Now, for each $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have the equality below

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{w_n} \widehat{\phi} \, d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z_n + \epsilon_n z) w_n \phi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(w_n) \phi \, dx = o_n(1) \|\phi\|$$

which implies that, see [29, Theorem 3.5], w is a nontrivial solution of the problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + \alpha_1 u - f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{II.7}$$

where $\alpha_1 = \lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} V(\epsilon_n z_n)$. Thereby, by Lemma II.4, $w \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\phi_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\|\phi_k - w\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to +\infty,$$

that is,

$$\|\phi_k - w\| = o_k(1).$$

Using $\frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i}$ as a test function, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{w_n} \widehat{\frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i}} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + \epsilon_n z_n) w_n \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i} \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(w_n) \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i} \, dx = o_n(1).$$

Now, using well known arguments, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{w_n} \widehat{\frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i}} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{w} \widehat{\frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i}} d\xi + o_n(1)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(w_n) \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(w) \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i} \, dx + o_n(1).$$

Gathering the above limit with (II.7), we deduce that

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\epsilon_n z_n + \epsilon_n z) - V(\epsilon_n z_n)) w_n \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_i} \, dx \right| = 0.$$

As ϕ_k has compact support , the above limit gives

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(V(\epsilon_n z_n+\epsilon_n z)-V(\epsilon_n z_n))w\frac{\partial\phi_k}{\partial x_i}\,dx\right|=0.$$

Also since $\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have that $(\frac{\partial\phi_k}{\partial x_i})$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence,

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(V(\epsilon_n z_n+\epsilon_n z)-V(\epsilon_n z_n))\phi_k\frac{\partial\phi_k}{\partial x_i}\,dx\right|=o_k(1),$$

and so,

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left|\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(V(\epsilon_n z_n+\epsilon_n z)-V(\epsilon_n z_n))\frac{\partial(\phi_k^2)}{\partial x_i}\,dx\right|=o_k(1).$$

Using Green's Theorem together with the fact that ϕ_k has compact support, we find the limit below

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}(\epsilon_n z_n+\epsilon_n z)\,\phi_k^2\,dx\right|=o_k(1),$$

which leads to

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}(\epsilon_n z_n)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi_k|^2\,dx\right|=o_k(1).$$

As

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\phi_k|^2\,dx\to\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|w|^2\,dx\quad\text{as}\quad k\to+\infty,$$

it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}(\epsilon_n z_n)\right|=o_k(1),\quad\forall i\in\{1,....,N\}.$$

Since k is arbitrary, we derive that

$$\nabla V(\epsilon_n z_n)\to 0\quad\text{as}\quad n\to\infty.$$

Therefore, $(\epsilon_n z_n)$ is a $(PS)_\alpha$ sequence for V, which is an absurd, because by hypotheses on V, it satisfies the (PS) condition and $(\epsilon_n z_n)$ does not have any convergent subsequence in $\mathbb{R}^N$. ■

Denote by $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ the Nehari Manifold associated with I_ϵ, that is,

$$\mathcal{N}_\epsilon=\left\{u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}\,:\,I'_\epsilon(u)u=0\right\}.$$

Lemma II.6. *For $\lambda\geq\lambda^*$ and $\sigma>0$, the functional I_ϵ restrict to $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c\in(m_\lambda(c_0)+\sigma,2m_\lambda(c_0)-\sigma)$.*

Proof. Let (u_n) be a (PS)-sequence for I_ϵ constrained to $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$. Then $I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c$ and

$$I'_\epsilon(u_n) = \theta_n G'_\epsilon(u_n) + o_n(1), \tag{II.8}$$

for some $(\theta_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $G_\epsilon : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is given by

$$G_\epsilon(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)|v|^2\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v)v\,dx.$$

Notice that $G'_\epsilon(u_n)u_n \leq 0$. By standard arguments show that (u_n) is bounded. Thus, up to a subsequence, $G'_\epsilon(u_n)u_n \to l \leq 0$. If $l \neq 0$, we infer from (II.8) that $\theta_n = o_n(1)$. In this case, we can use (II.8) again to conclude that (u_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_ϵ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and so, (u_n) has a strongly convergent subsequence. If $l = 0$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(u_n)u_n^2 - f(u_n)u_n)\;dx \to 0.$$

Using the definition of f, we know that

$$f'(t)t^2 - f(t)t > 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{II.9}$$

If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the weak limit of (u_n), the Fatous' Lemma combined with the last limit leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(u)u^2 - f(u)u)\;dx = 0.$$

Then, by (II.9), $u = 0$. Applying Corollary II.1, there is $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|y_n| \to +\infty$ such that

$$v_n = u_n(\cdot + y_n) \rightharpoonup v \neq 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

By change variable,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(v_n)v_n^2 - f(v_n)v_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(u_n)u_n^2 - f(u_n)u_n)\;dx \to 0.$$

Applying again Fatous's Lemma, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(v)v^2 - f(v)v)\;dx = 0,$$

which is an absurd, because being $v \neq 0$, the inequality (II.9) leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(v)v^2 - f(v)v)\;dx > 0,$$

finishing the proof of the lemma.

■

Corollary II.3. *If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a critical point of I_ϵ restrict to $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$, then u is a critical point of I_ϵ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. The proof follows arguing as in the proof of Lemma II.6. ■

The next lemma will be crucial in our study to show a lower estimate involving a special minimax level, which will be defined later on.

Lemma II.7. *Let $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$ such that $I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \to m_\lambda(c_0)$. Then, there is $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|z_n| \to +\infty$ and $u_1 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$u_n(\cdot + z_n) \to u_1 \quad \textit{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Moreover, $\liminf\limits_{n\to+\infty} |\epsilon_n z_n| > 0$.

Proof. Since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$, we have that $J'_{\lambda,c_0}(u_n)u_n < 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, there is $t_n \in (0,1)$ such that $t_n u_n \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0}$. Therefore,

$$(t_n u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{c_0} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\lambda,c_0}(t_n u_n) \to m_\lambda(c_0).$$

Now, by [29, Lemma 5.1], there are $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $u_1 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, and a subsequence of (u_n), still denoted by (u_n), verifying

$$u_n(\cdot + z_n) \to u_1 \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Claim II.3. $\liminf\limits_{n\to+\infty} |\epsilon_n z_n| > 0$.

Indeed, as $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $u_n^1 = u_n(\cdot + z_n)$ must verify

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{u_n^1}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_n z_n)|u_n^1|^2\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n^1) u_n^1\, dx. \tag{II.10}$$

Supposing by contradiction, up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \epsilon_n z_n = 0.$$

Taking the limit of $n \to +\infty$ in (II.10), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{u_1}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(0)|u_1|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_1) u_1\, dx.$$

Thereby,

$$J_{\lambda,V(0)}(u_1) \geq m_\lambda(V(0)) > m_\lambda(c_0).$$

On the other hand,

$$I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \to J_{\lambda,V(0)}(u_1),$$

which leads to

$$m_\lambda(c_0) = J_{\lambda,V(0)}(u_1),$$

obtaining a contradiction. ■

III. A SPECIAL MINIMAX LEVEL

In order to prove the Theorem I.1, we will consider a special minimax level. The construction involves the barycenter function used in [8], see also [1, 17], given by

$$\beta(u) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{x}{|x|}|u|^2\,dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2\,dx}, \quad \forall u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}.$$

For each $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let us define the function

$$\phi_{\epsilon,z}(x) = t_{\epsilon,z} u_0 \left(x - \frac{z}{\epsilon}\right),$$

where $t_{\epsilon,z} > 0$ is such that $\phi_{\epsilon,z} \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$, and $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a radial positive ground state solution $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for J_{λ,c_0}, that is,

$$J_{\lambda,c_0}(u_0) = m_\lambda(c_0) \quad \text{and} \quad J'_{\lambda,c_0}(u_0) = 0,$$

whose the existence was guaranteed in Lemma II.3.

We establish several properties involving β and $\phi_{\epsilon,z}$.

Lemma III.1. *For each* $r > 0$, $\displaystyle\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\sup\left\{\left|\beta(\phi_{\epsilon,z}) - \frac{z}{|z|}\right| : |z| \geq r\right\}\right) = 0.$

Proof. It is enough to show that for any (z_n) with $|z_n| \geq r$ and $\epsilon_n \to 0$, we have that

$$\left|\beta(\phi_{\epsilon_n,z_n}) - \frac{z_n}{|z_n|}\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty.$$

By change variable,

$$\left|\beta(\phi_{\epsilon_n,z_n}) - \frac{z_n}{|z_n|}\right| = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left|\frac{\epsilon_n x + z_n}{|\epsilon_n x + z_n|} - \frac{z_n}{|z_n|}\right| |u_0(x)|^2\,dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_0|^2\,dx}.$$

Since for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left|\frac{\epsilon_n x + z_n}{|\epsilon_n x + z_n|} - \frac{z_n}{|z_n|}\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty,$$

applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence Theorem, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left|\frac{\epsilon_n x + z_n}{|\epsilon_n x + z_n|} - \frac{z_n}{|z_n|}\right| |u_0(x)|^2\,dx \to 0.$$

This proves the lemma. ■

As an immediate consequence, we have

Corollary III.1. *Fixed $r > 0$, there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(\beta(\phi_{\epsilon,z}), z) > 0, \quad \forall |z| \geq r \quad \textit{and} \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0).$$

Define now the set

$$\mathcal{B}_\epsilon = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon \,:\, \beta(u) \in Y\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$, because $\phi_{\epsilon,0} = 0 \in Y$, for all $\epsilon > 0$. Associated with the above set, define the real number D_ϵ given by

$$D_\epsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon} I_\epsilon(u).$$

Next lemma establishes an important relation between D_ϵ and $m_\lambda(c_0)$.

Lemma III.2.

(a) *There exist $\epsilon_0, \sigma > 0$ such that*

$$D_\epsilon \geq m_\lambda(c_0) + \sigma, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \sigma).$$

(b) $\limsup\limits_{\epsilon \to 0} \left\{ \sup\limits_{x \in X} I_\epsilon(\phi_{\epsilon,x}) \right\} < 2m_\lambda(c_0) - \sigma.$

(c) *There exist $\epsilon_0, R > 0$ such that*

$$I_\epsilon(\phi_{\epsilon,x}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(m_\lambda(c_0) + D_\epsilon), \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0) \quad \textit{and} \quad \forall x \in \partial B_R(0) \cap X.$$

Proof. *Proof of (a),* From definition of D_ϵ, we know that

$$D_\epsilon \geq m_\lambda(c_0), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Supposing by contradiction that the lemma does not hold, there exists $\epsilon_n \to 0$ such that

$$D_{\epsilon_n} \to m_\lambda(c_0) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty.$$

Hence, there exists $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$, with $\beta(u_n) \in Y$, satisfying

$$I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \to m_\lambda(c_0) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty.$$

Thereby, by Lemma II.7, there exist $u_1 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\liminf\limits_{n \to +\infty} |\epsilon_n z_n| > 0$ verifying

$$u_n(\cdot + z_n) \to u_1 \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

that is,

$$u_n = u_1(\cdot - z_n) + w_n \quad \text{with} \quad w_n \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

From definition of β,

$$\beta(u_1(\cdot - z_n)) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_n z_n}{|\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_n z_n|} |u_1|^2 \, dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_1|^2 \, dx}.$$

Repeating the same arguments explored in the proof of Lemma III.1 (see also [8]), we see that

$$\beta(u_1(\cdot - z_n)) = \frac{z_n}{|z_n|} + o_n(1),$$

and so,

$$\beta(u_n) = \beta(u_1(\cdot - z_n)) + o_n(1) = \frac{z_n}{|z_n|} + o_n(1).$$

Since $\beta(u_n) \in Y$, we infer that $\frac{z_n}{|z_n|} \in Y_\lambda$ for n large enough. Consequently, $z_n \in Y_\lambda$ for n large enough, implying that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} V(\epsilon_n z_n) > c_0.$$

Making $A = \liminf\limits_{n \to \infty} V(\epsilon_n z_n)$, the last inequality together with Fatous's Lemma yields

$$m_\lambda(c_0) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \geq J_{\lambda, A}(u_1) \geq m_\lambda(A) > m_\lambda(c_0),$$

which is an absurd, recalling that $J'_A(u_1)u_1 = 0$ and $u_1 \neq 0$.

Proof of (b). Using condition (V_4) , since u_0 is a ground state solution associated with I_{c_0}, we deduce that there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in X} I_\epsilon(\phi_{\epsilon,x}) \leq I_{c_0}(u_0) + \frac{3}{5} I_{c_0}(u_0) = m_\lambda(c_0) + \frac{3}{5} m_\lambda(c_0) < 2m_\lambda(c_0), \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0).$$

Proof of (c). From (V_1), given $\delta > 0$, there are $R, \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{I_\epsilon(\phi_{\epsilon,x}) \,:\, x \in \partial B_R(0) \cap X\} \leq m_\lambda(c_0) + \delta \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0).$$

Fixing $\delta = \frac{\sigma}{4}$, where σ was given in (a), we have that

$$\sup\{I_\epsilon(\phi_{\epsilon,x}) \,:\, x \in \partial B_R(0) \cap X\} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(2m_\lambda(c_0) + \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{2}(m_\lambda(c_0) + D_\epsilon) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0).$$

$\blacksquare$

Now, we are ready to show the minimax level. Define the map $\Phi_\epsilon : X \to H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\Phi_\epsilon = \phi_{\epsilon,x}$. Denoting by P the cone of nonnegative functions of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let us consider the set

$$\Sigma = \{\Phi_\epsilon \,:\, x \in X,\, |x| \leq R\} \subset P,$$

the class of functions

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{h \in C(P \cap \mathcal{N}_\epsilon, P \cap \mathcal{N}_\epsilon) \,:\, h(u) = u, \text{ if } I_\epsilon(u) < \frac{1}{2}(m_\lambda(c_0) + D_\epsilon)\right\}$$

and finally the class of sets

$$\Gamma = \{A \subset P \cap \mathcal{N}_\epsilon \,:\, A = h(\Sigma),\, h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Lemma III.3. *If $A \in \Gamma$, then $A \cap \mathcal{B}_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, there is $x_* \in X$ with $|x_*| \leq R$ such that

$$\beta(h(\Phi_\epsilon(x_*))) \in Y.$$

For each $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we set the function $g : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$g(x) = \beta(h(\Phi_\epsilon(x))) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and the homotopy $\mathcal{F} : [0,1] \times X \to X$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(t,x) = tP_X(g(x)) + (1-t)x,$$

where P_X is the projection onto $X = \{(x,0) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$. By using Corollary III.1, fixed $R > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we have that

$$(\beta(\mathcal{F}(t,x)), x) > 0, \quad \forall (t,x) \in [0,1] \times (\partial B_R \cap X).$$

Using the homotopy invariance property of the Topological degree, we derive

$$d(g, B_R \cap X, 0) = 1,$$

implying that there exists $x_* \in B_R \cap X$ such that $\beta(h(\Phi_\epsilon(x_*))) = 0$. ■

Now, define the min-max value

$$C_\epsilon = \inf_{A \in \Gamma} \sup_{u \in A} I_\epsilon(u).$$

From Lemma III.3,

$$C_\epsilon \geq D_\epsilon \geq m_\lambda(c_0) + \sigma, \tag{III.1}$$

for ϵ is small enough. On the other hand,

$$C_\epsilon \leq \sup_{x \in X} I_\epsilon(\phi_{\epsilon,x}), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Then, by Lemma III.2(b), if ϵ is small enough

$$C_\epsilon \leq \sup_{x \in X} I_\epsilon(\phi_{\epsilon,x}) < 2m_\lambda(c_0) - \sigma. \tag{III.2}$$

From (III.1) and (III.2), there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$C_\epsilon \in (m_\lambda(c_0) + \sigma, 2m_\lambda(c_0) - \sigma), \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0). \tag{III.3}$$

Now, we can use standard min-max arguments to conclude that I_ϵ has at least a critical point in $P \cap \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ if ϵ is small enough.

IV. FINAL COMMENTS

The same approach used in the present paper can be used to prove the existence of solution for problems with subcritical growth like

$$\epsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(z)u = f(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N.$$

To do this, it is enough to assume that f verifies the following conditions:

(f_1) $\lim\limits_{s\to 0} \dfrac{f(s)}{s} = 0.$

(f_2) There is $\theta > 2$ such that

$$0 < \theta F(s) := \theta \int_0^s f(t)dt \leq s f(s), \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

(f_3) The function $s \to \dfrac{f(s)}{s}$ is strictly increasing in $|s| > 0$.

Related to function V we assume $(V_1)-(V_4)$, however (V_4) must be written of the following way

$$(V_4) \qquad\qquad m(V(0)) \geq 2m(c_0) \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 \leq \left[1 + \frac{3}{5}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)\right] c_0.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was completed while the second author named was visiting the Department of Mathematics of the Rutgers University, whose hospitality he gratefully acknowledges. He would like to express his gratitude to Professor Haim Brezis and Professor Yan Yan Li for invitation and friendship.

[1] C.O. Alves, *Existence of positive solution for a nonlinear elliptic equation with saddle-like potential and nonlinearity with exponential critical growth in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, arXiv:1506.04947[math.AP]

[2] C.O. Alves, P.C. Carrião and O. H. Miyagaki, *Nonlinear perturbations of a periodic elliptic problem with critical growth,* J. Math.Anal. Appl. **260** (2001), 133-146.

[3] C.O. Alves, J.M. B. do Ó and M.A.S. Souto, *Local mountain-pass for a class of elliptic problems involving critical growth.* Nonlinear Anal. **46** (2001), 495-510.

[4] C.O. Alves and G.M. Figueiredo, Multiplicity and concentration of Positive Solutions for a class of quasilinear problems via penalization methods. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **11**, no. 2, (2011) 265-294.

[5] C.O. Alves and M.A.S. Souto, *On existence and concentration behavior of ground state solutions for a class of problems with critical growth.* Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **3** (2002), 417-431.

[6] T. Bartsch, A. Pankov and Z.-Q. Wang, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with steep potential well*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, **3** (2001), 1-21.

[7] T. Bartsch and Z.-Q. Wang, *Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, **20** (1995), 1725-1741.

[8] V. Benci and G. Cerami, *Existence of positive solutions of the equation* $-\Delta u + a(x)u = u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Funct. Anal. **88**(1990), 90-117.

[9] C. Brändle, E. Colorado and U. Sánchez, *A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian,* Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A. **143** (2013), 39–71.

[10] X. Cabré and Y. Sire, *Nonlinear equations for fractional laplacians, I: Regularity,maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Non Linéare **31** (2014), 23-53.

[11] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problems related to the fractional Laplacian,* Comm. Partial Differential Equation **32** (2007), 1245–1260.

[12] X. Chang and Z.Q.Wang, *Nodal and multiple solutions of nonlinear problems involving the fractional Laplacian,* J. Differential Equations **256**(2014), 2965-2992.

[13] G. Chen and Y. Zheng, *Concentration phenomena for fractional noninear Schrödinger equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. **13** (2014), 2359–2376.

[14] A. Cotsiolis and N. Tavoularis, *Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295** (2004), 225-236.

[15] J. Dávila, M. del Pino and J. C. Wei, *Concentrating standing waves for the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation,* J. Differential Equations **256** (2014), 858–892.

[16] M. del Pino and P.L. Felmer, *Local Mountain Pass for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains.* Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **4** (1996), 121-137.

[17] M. del Pino, P.L. Felmer and O.H. Miyagaki, *Existence of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrodinger equations with saddle-like potential*, Nonlinear Anal. **34** (1998), 979-989.

[18] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces,* Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), 521–573.

[19] J. M. B. do Ó and M.A.S. Souto, *On a class of nonlinear Schodinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *involving critical growth*, J. Differential Equations **174** (2001), 289-311.

[20] M. M. Fall, F. Mahmoudi and E. Valdinoci, *Ground states and concentration phenomena for the fractional Schrödinger equation,* arXiv:1411.0576v2[math.AP] 24 Apr 2015

[21] P. Felmer, A Quass and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A **142** (2012), 1237-1262.

[22] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, *Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equations with bounded potential,* J. Funct. Anal. **69** (1986), 397-408.

[23] R.L. Frank, E. Lenzmann and L. Silvestre, *Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional laplacian,* arXiv:1302.2652v2[math.AP]23 Mar 2015

[24] Y.J. Oh, *Existence of semi-classical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials on the class* $(V)_a$*,* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **13** (1988), 1499-1519.

[25] Y.J. Park, *Fractional Polya-Szegö inequality,* J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.**24** (2011), 267–271.

[26] P.H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew Math. Phys. **43** (1992), 270-291.

[27] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$*,* J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501-17 pages.

[28] X. Shang and J. Zhang, *Concentrating solutions of nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation with potentials,* J. Differential Equations **258** (2015), 1106–1128.

[29] X. Shang, J. Zhang and Y. Yang, *On fractional Schödinger equation in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *with critical growth,* J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 121502-19 pages.

[30] X. Wang, *On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations,* Comm. Math. Physical **53** (1993), 229-244.

[31] J. Zhang, X. Liu and H. Jiao, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a fractional Laplacian equations involving critical nonlinearity* , arXiv:1502.02222 [math.AP]